

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_		- 1-1-	•	

09/771,145

01/26/2001

Richard Humpleman

SAM3.0005

6197

7590

01/30/2002

SHERMAN & SHERMAN Seventeenth Floor 2029 Century Park East Los Angeles, CA 90067 EXAMINER

BUI, KIEU OANH T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2611

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fe

1		A-li-di- N	lc		Applicant(s)				
1 M'V		Application No.							
Office Action Summary		09/771,145 HUMPLEMAN,			ICHARD				
		Examiner	T DU	· ·	Art Unit				
		KIEU-OANH			2611 orrespondence ad	ddress			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b) Th	is action is nor	n-fin	al.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/are pending in the application.									
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consid	dera	tion.					
5) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/are rejected.									
• —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	iren	nent.					
• -	on Papers								
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine				•				
10) 🔲 🛚	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce								
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to th								
11) 🗌 🖯	The proposed drawing correction filed on				oved by the Exami	ner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kammer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language process	ovisional applic	catio	on has been re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	4) 5) <u>2</u> . 6)			y (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (F				

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: "...from and MPEG clocking to an internal network clocking of the second signal" should be read as "...from an MPEG clocking to an internal network clocking of the second signal" due to a typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 16-25 (pre-amendment) are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 5,886,732.

Application claim 1 only differs from the Patent claim 1 since patent claim 1 further includes:

1) an internal network having a hub and a direct circuit crossbar (to provide network connection), which are further configurable to selectively provide a direct circuit connection between the set-top electronics and the network interface.

Regarding 1) above, application claim 16 is broader in this sense since it does not further include or define an internal network with a hub and a direct circuit crossbar (in the preamble statement) in order to selectively provide a direct network connection as further defined in the Patent claim 1. Allowance of claim 16 would result in a un-warrented time wise extension of the monopoly granted for the invention as defined by patent claim 1.

Application claim 21 only differs from the Patent claim 1 is that:

1) an internal network having a hub and a direct circuit crossbar (to provide network connection), which are further configurable to selectively provide a direct circuit connection between the set-top electronics and the network interface.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding 1) above, application claim 21 is included this limitation in the claim body; however, this application claim 21 is broader in the sense that the arrangement between the set top electronics and the network interface as recited in this claim can be applied to a (any) general set-top electronics and any network interface unit instead of (exclusively) for use with an internal network having a hub and a direct circuit crossbar as defined in the Patent claim 1. Allowance of claim 21 would result in a un-warranted time wise extension of the monopoly granted for the invention as defined by patent claim 1.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Humpleman (US Patents 5,940,387 & 6,005,861) discloses a home multimedia network architecture.

Humpleman (US Patent 5,579,308) discloses crossbar/hub arrangement for a multimedia network.

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Art Unit: 2611

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krista Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (703) 305-0095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile, can be reached on (703) 305-4380.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Krista Bui Art Unit 2611 January 14, 2002 ANDREW FAILE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600